arXiv:0901.4197v1 [math.CA] 27 Jan 2009

WEIGHTED NORMS INEQUALITIES FOR A MAXIMAL OPERATOR IN SOME SUBSPACE OF AMALGAMS.

JUSTIN FEUTO, IBRAHIM FOFANA, AND KONIN KOUA

Abstract. We give weighted norm inequalities for the maximal fractional operator $\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}$ of Hardy-Littlewood and the fractional integral I_γ. These inequalities are established between $(L^q,L^p)^\alpha(X,d,\mu)$ spaces (which are super spaces of Lebesgue spaces $L^\alpha(X,d,\mu)$, and subspaces of amalgams $(L^q,L^p)(X,d,\mu)$) and in the setting of space of homogeneous type (X,d,μ). The conditions on the weights are stated in terms of Orlicz norm.

Résumé. Nous donnons des inégalités à poids pour l'opérateur maximal fractionnaire $\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}$ de Hardy-Littlewood et de l'intégrale fractionnaire I_γ. Ces inégalités sont établies entre des espaces $(L^q,L^p)^\alpha(X,d,\mu)$ (qui sont des sur-espaces des espaces de Lebesgue $L^\alpha(X,d,\mu)$ et des sous-espaces des espaces d'amalgames $(L^q,L^p)(X,d,\mu)$) et dans le contexte des espaces de type homogènes. Les conditions imposées aux poids sont exprimées en terme de norme d'Orlicz.

1. Introduction

Consider the fractional maximal operator $\mathfrak{m}_{q,\beta}$ $(1\leq q\leq\beta\leq\infty)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$\mathfrak{m}_{q,\beta}f(x)=\sup_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}:x\in Q}|Q|^{\frac{1}{\beta}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\|f\chi_Q\right\|_q \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}$ is the set of all cubes Q of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with edges parallel to the coordinate axes, $|E|$ stands for the Lebesgue measure of the subset E of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\left\|\cdot\right\|_q$ denotes the usual norm on the Lebesgue space $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n,dx)$. Weighted norm inequalities for $\mathfrak{m}_{1,\beta}$ have been extensively studied in the setting of Lebesgue, weak-Lebesgue and Morrey spaces (see [22],[5],[23] and the references therein). The following result is contained in [22].

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that* $1\leq q<\beta\leq\infty$, $\frac{1}{t}=\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{\beta}$ *and* v *is a weight function satisfying*

$$\sup_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}}|Q|^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1}\left\|v\chi_Q\right\|_t\left\|v^{-1}\chi_Q\right\|_{q'}<\infty. \quad (\frac{1}{q'}+\frac{1}{q}=1) \tag{2}$$

Key words and phrases. Fractional maximal operator, fractional integral, space of homogeneous type.

Then there exists a constant C such that for any measurable function f

$$\left(\int_{\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\mathfrak{m}_{1,\beta}f(x)>\lambda\right\}} v(y)^t dy\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \leq C\lambda^{-1}\left\|fv\right\|_q \quad \lambda>0. \tag{3}$$

The spaces $(L^q,\ell^p)^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ $(1\leq q\leq\alpha\leq p\leq\infty)$ have been defined in [12] as follows:

- $I_k^r = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{n}\left[k_j r,(k_j+1)\,r\right[,\quad k=(k_i)_{1\leq i\leq n}\in\mathbb{Z}^n,\quad r>0$
- $J_x^r = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{n}\left]x_j-\frac{r}{2},x_j+\frac{r}{2}\right[,\quad x=(x_i)_{1\leq i\leq n}\in\mathbb{R}^n,\quad r>0$
- a Lebesgue measurable function f belongs to $(L^q,\ell^p)^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha}<\infty$, where

$$\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha} = \sup_{r>0} r^{n(\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}}\ {}_r\left\|f\right\|_{q,p}, \tag{4}$$

and

$$ {}_r\left\|f\right\|_{q,p} = \left\{\begin{array}{ll} \left[\sum\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\left(\left\|f\chi_{I_k^r}\right\|_q\right)^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if}\quad p<\infty \\ \sup\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}\left\|f\chi_{J_x^r}\right\|_q & \text{if}\quad p=\infty \end{array}\right. . \tag{5}$$

The $(L^q,\ell^p)^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ have been introduced in connection with Fourier multiplier problems. But they are also linked to L^q-L^p multiplier problems. We refer the readers to [18] where space of Radon measures containing $(L^1,\ell^p)^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are considered.

Notice that these spaces are subspaces of amalgams spaces introduced by Wiener and study by may authors (see the survey paper [15] of Fournier and Stewart and the references therein).

It has been proved in [11] that given $1\leq q\leq\alpha<\infty$, $\left\{(L^q,\ell^p)^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)\right\}_{p\geq\alpha}$ is a monotone increasing family of Banach spaces, $(L^q,\ell^\alpha)^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)=L^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $(L^q,\ell^\infty)^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is clearly the classical Morrey space denoted by $L^{q,n(1-\frac{q}{\alpha})}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in [5]. Moreover if $q<\alpha<p$ then the weak-$L^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ space is embeded in $(L^q,\ell^p)^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Due to this remarkable link between the spaces $(L^q,\ell^p)^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the Lebesgues ones, it is tempting to look for an extension of Theorem 1.1 to the setting of $(L^q,\ell^p)^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ space. The following result is contained in [13].

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that:*

- $1\leq q\leq\alpha\leq p$ *and* $0<\frac{1}{s}=\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{\beta}$
- $q\leq q_1\leq\alpha_1\leq p_1$ *and* $0<\frac{1}{t}=\frac{1}{q_1}-\frac{1}{\beta}\leq\frac{1}{p_1}$,
- v *is a weight function satisfying*

$$\sup_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}}\left|Q\right|^{\frac{1}{\beta}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\|v\chi_Q\right\|_t\left\|v^{-1}\chi_Q\right\|_{1/(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{q_1})}<\infty. \tag{6}$$

Then there exists a real constant $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\left(\int_{\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\mathfrak{m}_{1,\beta}f(x)>\lambda\right\}} v(y)^t dy\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \leq C\lambda^{-1}\left\|fv\right\|_{q_1,p_1,\alpha_1}\left(\lambda^{-1}\left\|f\right\|_{q,\infty,\alpha}\right)^{s\left(\frac{1}{q_1}-\frac{1}{\alpha_1}\right)} \tag{7}$$

for any real $\lambda > 0$ *and Lebesgue-measurable function* f *on* $\mathbb{R}^n$.

It turns out that the $(L^q,\ell^p)^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ setting is particularly adapted for the search of controls on Lebesgue norm of fractional maximal functions $\mathfrak{m}_{q,\beta}f$. Actually we have the following result which first part is a consequence of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.3. *(see* [13]*) Assume that* $1 \leq q \leq \alpha \leq \beta$, *and* $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{\beta}$.

(a) *If* $\alpha \leq p$ *and* $\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{\beta} \leq \frac{1}{p}$ *then there is a real constant* C *such that for all Lebesgue measurable function* f *on* $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\left\|\mathfrak{m}_{q,\beta}f\right\|_{s,\infty}^{*} \equiv \sup_{\lambda>0}\lambda\left|\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : \mathfrak{m}_{q,\beta}f(x) > \lambda\right\}\right|^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq C\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha}. \tag{8}$$

(b) *If* $1 \leq u \leq s \leq v$ *then there is a real constant* C *such that for any Lebesgue measurable function* f *on* $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha} \leq C\left\|\mathfrak{m}_{q,\beta}f\right\|_{u,v,s}. \tag{9}$$

From inequality (9) and the imbedding of the weak-$L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ space into $(L^u,\ell^v)^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $u < s < v$, it follows that f has its fractional maximal function $\mathfrak{m}_{q,\beta}f$ in a weak Lebesgue space only if itself belongs to some $(L^q,\ell^p)^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let $X = (X,d,\mu)$ be a space of homogeneous type which is separable and satisfies a reversed doubling condition (see (19) in section 2 for definition).

For $1 \leq q \leq \beta \leq \infty$ we set, for any μ-measurable function f on X,

$$\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}f(x) = \sup_{B}\mu\left(B\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\|f\chi_B\right\|_q \quad x\in X, \tag{10}$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls B in X containing x and $\left\|\cdot\right\|_q$ denotes the norm on the Lebesgue space $L^q = L^q(X,d,\mu)$. As we can see, $\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}$ is clearly a generalization of $\mathfrak{m}_{q,\beta}$. In the last decades, much work has been dedicated to obtain Morrey and Lebesgue norm inequalities for $\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}$ and other operator of fractional maximal type on spaces of homogeneous type. We refer the reader to [2],[4],[8],[24],[25],[27],[29] and the references therein.

As in the euclidean case, Lebesgue and Morrey spaces on homogeneous type spaces may be viewed as the end of a chain of Banach function spaces $(L^q,L^p)^\alpha(X)$ defined as follows: a μ-measurable function f represents an element of $(L^q,L^p)^\alpha(X)$ if

$$\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha} = \sup_{r>0}\ {}_r\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha} < \infty \tag{11}$$

where

$$
{}_r\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\left[\int_X\left(\mu(B_{(y,r)})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right\|_q\right)^p d\mu(y)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if } \ p<\infty\\ \sup\limits_{y\in X}\text{ess}\mu(B_{(y,r)})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r)}}\right\|_q & \text{if } \ p=\infty\end{array}\right., \tag{12}
$$

The $(L^q,L^p)^\alpha(X)$ are generalizations of the $(L^q,\ell^p)^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which main properties extend to them (see [10]).

In this paper we are interested in continuity properties of $\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}$ and the fractional integral operator I_γ (as defined by relation (15)) involving the spaces $(L^q,L^p)^\alpha(X)$ and weights fulfilling condition of $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ type stated in terms of Orlicz norms as in [24].

The main result is Theorem 2.3 which is an extension of Theorem 1.2 and contains, as a special case, the following result.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that*
- *there is a positive non decreasing function φ defined on $]0,\infty[$ and positive constants $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ such that*

$$
\mathfrak{a}\varphi(r)\leq\mu\left(B_{(x,r)}\right)\leq\mathfrak{b}\varphi(r)\quad x\in X \ \textit{and}\ 0<r, \tag{13}
$$

- *q,α,p and β are elements of $[1,\infty]$ such that $q\leq\alpha\leq p$ and $0<\frac{1}{s}=\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{\beta}\leq\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{\beta}\leq\frac{1}{p}$.*

Then there is a real constant C such that, for any μ-measurable function f on X we have

$$
\left\|\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}f\right\|^*_{s,\infty}\equiv\sup_{\theta>0}\theta\mu\left(\{x\in X:\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}f(x)>\theta\}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}}\leq C\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\alpha}. \tag{14}
$$

Remark that condition (13) is satisfied in the following cases:

- X is an Ahlfors n regular metric space, i. e. there is a positive integer n and a positive constant C which is independent of the main parameters such that $C^{-1}r^n\leq\mu\left(B_{(x,r)}\right)\leq Cr^n$,
- X is a Lie group with polynomial growth equipped with a left Haar measure μ and the Carnot-Carathéodory metric d associated with a Hörmander system of left invariant vector fields (see [16],[21] and [28]).

Let us assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1.4 and that $q<\alpha<p$. Theorem 2.12 of [10] assert that weak-$L^\alpha(X)$ is strictly included in $(L^q,L^p)^\alpha(X)$. So, we may find an element f_0 in $(L^q,L^p)^\alpha(X)$ which is not in weak-$L^\alpha(X)$ space. Considering this element, Theorem 1.4 asserts that $\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}f_0$ belongs to the weak-L^s space, while Theorem 2-7 of [24] gives no control on it. This remark shows that, even if $\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}$ is a particular case of the maximal operator $\mathcal{M}_\psi$ under consideration in Theorem 2-7 of [24], the range of application of this last theorem is different from that of our Theorem 2.3.

It is worth noting that $\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}$ satisfies a norm inequality similar to (9) (see Theorem 2.4). This implies that if the maximal function $\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}f$ belongs to some weak-Lebesgue space, then f is in some $(L^q, L^p)^\alpha(X)$.

Let us consider the following fractional operator I_γ $(0 < \gamma < 1)$ defined by

$$I_\gamma f(x) = \int_X \frac{f(y)d\mu(y)}{\mu\left(B(x, d(x,y))\right)^{1-\gamma}}. \tag{15}$$

This operator is clearly an extension of the classical Riesz potential operator in $\mathbb{R}^n$. As in the euclidean case, I_γ is controlled in norm by $\mathcal{M}_{1,\beta}$ where $\beta = \frac{1}{\gamma}$ (see Theorem 3.1). Thus from the weight norm inequality on $\mathcal{M}_{1,\beta}$ stated in Theorem 2.3, we may deduce a similar one on I_γ.

The remaining of the paper is organised as follows: section 2 is devoted to continuity properties of $\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}$ and contains also background elements on homogeneous spaces, Young functions and $(L^q, L^p)^\alpha(X)$ spaces. In section 3 we extend the results on $\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}$ to I_γ. Throughout the paper, we will denote by C a positive constant which is independent of the main parameters, but it may vary from line to line. Constant with subscripts, such as C_μ do not change in different occurences.

2. Continuity of the fractional maximal operators $\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}$

Let $X = (X, d, \mu)$ be a space of homogeneous type: (X, d) is a quasi-metric space endowed with a non negative Borel measure μ satisfying the following doubling condition

$$\mu\left(B_{(x,2r)}\right) \leq C\mu\left(B_{(x,r)}\right) < \infty, \quad x \in X \text{ and } r > 0 \tag{16}$$

where $B_{(x,r)} = \{y \in X : d(x,y) < r\}$ is the ball of center x and radius r in X. If B is an arbitrary ball, then we denote by x_B its center and $r(B)$ its radius, and for any real number $\delta > 0$, δB denotes the ball centered at x_B with radius $\delta r(B)$.

Since d is a quasimetric, there exists a constant $\kappa \geq 1$ such that

$$d(x,z) \leq \kappa\left(d(x,y) + d(y,z)\right), \qquad x, y, z \in X. \tag{17}$$

If C_μ is the smallest constant for which (16) holds, then $D_\mu = \log_2 C_\mu$ is called the doubling order of μ. It is known ([4] or [29]) that for all balls $B_2 \subset B_1$ of (X, d)

$$\frac{\mu(B_1)}{\mu(B_2)} \leq C_\mu \left(\frac{r(B_1)}{r(B_2)}\right)^{D_\mu}. \tag{18}$$

A quasimetric δ on X is said to be equivalent to d if there exist constants $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$C_1 d(x,y) \leq \delta(x,y) \leq C_2 d(x,y), \quad x, y \in X.$$

We observe that topologies defined by equivalent quasi-metrics on X are equivalent. It is shown in [20], that there is a quasi-metric δ equivalent to d for which balls are open sets.

In the sequel we assume that $X = (X, d, \mu)$ is a fixed space of homogeneous type and

- all balls $B_{(x,r)} = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < r\}$ are open subsets of X endowed with the d-topology and (X, d) is separable,
- $\mu(X) = \infty$
- $\mu(\{x\}) = 0,\ x \in X$,
- $B_{(x,R)} \setminus B_{(x,r)} \neq \emptyset,\ 0 < r < R < \infty$, and $x \in X$, so that as proved in [30], there exist two constants $\tilde{C}_\mu > 0$ and $\delta_\mu > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\mu(B_1)}{\mu(B_2)} \geq \tilde{C}_\mu \left(\frac{r(B_1)}{r(B_2)}\right)^{\delta_\mu} \text{ for all balls } B_2 \subset B_1 \text{ of } X. \tag{19}$$

We will now recall the concepts necessary to express the conditions we impose on our weights.

Definition 2.1. *Let Φ be a non negative function on $[0, \infty)$*

a) Φ *is a Young function if it is continuous, non decreasing, convex and satisfies the conditions*

$$\Phi(0) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{x\to\infty} \Phi(x) = \infty.$$

b) *Assume that Φ is a Young function:*
   (i) *it is doubling if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\Phi(2t) \leq C\Phi(t) \text{ for all } t \geq 0,$$

   (ii) *it satisfies the B_p condition ($1 \leq p < \infty$) if there is a number $a > 0$ such that*

$$\int_a^\infty \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^p}\frac{dt}{t} < \infty,$$

   (iii) *its conjugate Φ^*, is defined by*

$$\Phi^*(u) = \sup\{tu - \Phi(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}, \tag{20}$$

   (iv) *for any μ-measurable function f on X*

$$\|f\|_{\Phi,B} = \inf\left\{a > 0 : \frac{1}{\mu(B)}\int_B \Phi\left(a^{-1}|f|\right) d\mu \leq 1\right\} \tag{21}$$

for any ball B in X, and

$$M_\Phi f(x) = \sup_{\text{ball } B\ni x} \|f\|_{\Phi,B}. \tag{22}$$

It is proved in Theorem 5.1 of [25] that a doubling Young function Φ belongs to the class B_p with $1 < p < \infty$ if and only if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_X (\mathcal{M}_\Phi f(x))^p d\mu(x) \leq C \int_X f(x)^p d\mu(x) \tag{23}$$

for all nonnegative f. We also have the local version of generalized Hölder inequality

$$\frac{1}{\mu(B)}\int_B |fg|\,d\mu \leq \|f\|_{\Phi,B}\,\|g\|_{\Phi^*,B}\,, \tag{24}$$

which is valid for all measurable functions f and g, and for all ball B. For more information about Young function, see [26].

We will need the following covering lemma stated and proved in [4].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a family of balls with bounded radii. Then, there exists a countable subfamily of disjoint balls $\left\{B_{(x_i,r_i)}, i \in J\right\}$ such that each ball in $\mathfrak{F}$ is contained in one of the balls $B_{(x_i,3\kappa^2 r_i)}$, for some $i \in J$.*

We are now ready to state and prove our main result.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $q, \alpha, p, q_1, \alpha_1, p_1, \beta$ be elements of $[1,\infty]$ such that*

$$1 \leq q \leq \alpha \leq p \text{ with } 0 < \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{1}{s},$$

and

$$q < q_1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq p_1 < \infty \text{ with } 0 < \frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{1}{t} \leq \frac{1}{p_1}.$$

Let (w,v) be a pair of weights for which there exists a constant A such that

$$\mu(B)^{-\frac{1}{t}}\,\|w\chi_B\|_t\,\left\|v^{-q}\right\|_{\Phi,B}^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq A \tag{25}$$

for all balls B in (X,d), where Φ is a doubling Young function whose conjugate function Φ^* satisfies the $B_{\frac{q_1}{q}}$ condition. Then there is a constant C such that for all μ-measurable function f, and $\theta > 0$, we have

$$\left(\int_{\Pi_\theta} w^t(x)\,d\mu(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \leq C\theta^{-1}\,\|fv\|_{q_1}\,, \tag{26}$$

and if we assume that μ satisfies condition (13), then

$$\left(\int_{\Pi_\theta} w^t(x)\,d\mu(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \leq C\left(\theta^{-1}\,\|fv\|_{q_1,p_1,\alpha_1}\right)\left(\theta^{-1}\,\|f\|_{q,p,\alpha}\right)^{s\left(\frac{1}{q_1}-\frac{1}{\alpha_1}\right)}, \tag{27}$$

where $\Pi_\theta = \{x \in X : \mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}f(x) > \theta\}$.

Proof. Inequality (26) is immediate from Theorem 2.7 of [24]. We just have to prove inequality (27).

Let f be an element of $(L^q, L^p)^\alpha(X)$. Fix $\theta > 0$. For x in Π_θ, there exists r_x such that

$$\mu\left(B_{(x,r_x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\|f\chi_{B_{(x,r_x)}}\right\|_q > \theta \tag{28}$$

and therefore

$$\mu\left(B_{(x,r_x)}\right) \leq \left(\theta^{-1}\,\|f\|_{q,\infty,\alpha}\right)^s. \tag{29}$$

Fix a ball $B_{(x_0,R)}$ in X and set $\Pi_\theta^R = \Pi_\theta \cap B_{(x_0,R)}$. For any x in Π_θ^R we have $B_{(x_0,R)} \subset B_{(x,r_x)}$ provided $r_x > 2\kappa R$. It follows from the reverse doubling property and (29) that

$$r_x^{\delta_\mu} \leq C_\mu^{-1} \frac{R^{\delta_\mu}}{\mu\left(B_{(x_0,R)}\right)} \left(\theta^{-1} \left\|f\right\|_{q,\infty,\alpha}\right)^s . \tag{30}$$

So we obtain that for any x in Π_θ^R

$$r_x^{\delta_\mu} \leq \max\left\{2\kappa R, C_\mu^{-1} \frac{R^{\delta_\mu}}{\mu\left(B_{(x_0,R)}\right)} \left(\theta^{-1} \left\|f\right\|_{q,\infty,\alpha}\right)^s\right\} < \infty. \tag{31}$$

Thus by Lemma 2.2, the family $\mathcal{F} = \left\{B_{(x,r_x)} : x \in \Pi_\theta^R\right\}$ has a countable subfamily $\{B_i : i \in J\}$ of disjoint balls such that each element B of $\mathcal{F}$ is contained in some $3\kappa^2 B_i$.

Let i be an element of J. By (28) and the generalized Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^q &\leq \mu\left(B_i\right)^{\frac{q}{\beta}} \left(\frac{1}{\mu\left(B_i\right)} \int_{B_i} \left|fvv^{-1}\right| d\mu\right) \\ &\leq C\mu\left(B_i\right)^{\frac{q}{\beta}} \left\|\left(fv\chi_{B_i}\right)^q\right\|_{\Phi^*,3\kappa^2 B_i} \left\|v^{-1}\chi_{B_i}\right\|_{\Phi,3\kappa^2 B_i} \\ &\leq C\mu\left(B_i\right)^{\frac{q}{\beta}} M_{\Phi^*}\left(fv\chi_{B_i}\right)^q(y) \left\|v^{-q}\chi_{B_i}\right\|_{\Phi,3\kappa^2 B_i} \end{aligned}$$

for any y in B_i. So we obtain

$$\theta^q \mu\left(B_i\right) \leq C\mu\left(B_i\right)^{\frac{q}{\beta}} \int_{B_i} M_{\Phi^*}\left(fv\chi_{B_i}\right)^q(y) d\mu(y) \left\|v^{-q}\chi_{B_i}\right\|_{\Phi,3\kappa^2 B_i} . \tag{32}$$

Applying Hölder inequality and (23) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^q &\leq C\mu\left(B_i\right)^{-\frac{q}{t}} \left[\int_{B_i} \left\{M_{\Phi^*}\left(fv\chi_{B_i}\right)^q(y)\right\}^{\frac{q_1}{q}} d\mu(y)\right]^{\frac{q}{q_1}} \left\|v^{-q}\chi_{B_i}\right\|_{\Phi,3\kappa^2 B_i} \\ &\leq C\mu\left(B_i\right)^{-\frac{q}{t}} \left\|fv\chi_{B_i}\right\|_{q_1}^q \left\|v^{-q}\chi_{B_i}\right\|_{\Phi,3\kappa^2 B_i} ; \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$1 \leq C\theta^{-1} \mu\left(B_i\right)^{-\frac{1}{t}} \left\|fv\chi_{B_i}\right\|_{q_1} \left\|v^{-q}\chi_{B_i}\right\|_{\Phi,3\kappa^2 B_i}^{\frac{1}{q}} . \tag{33}$$

As $\Pi_\theta^R \subset \cup_{i\in J} 3\kappa^2 B_i$ and $\frac{p_1}{t} \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|w\chi_{\Pi_\theta^R}\right\|_t &\leq \left(\sum_{i\in J} \left\|w\chi_{3\kappa^2 B_i}\right\|_t^{p_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \\ &\leq C\theta^{-1} \left[\sum_{i\in J} \left(\mu\left(B_i\right)^{-\frac{1}{t}} \left\|fv\chi_{B_i}\right\|_{q_1} \left\|v^{-q}\chi_{B_i}\right\|_{\Phi,3\kappa^2 B_i}^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\|w\chi_{3\kappa^2 B_i}\right\|_t\right)^{p_1}\right]^{\frac{1}{p_1}} . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, according to assumption (29)

$$\left\| w\chi_{\Pi_\theta^R} \right\|_t \leq C\theta^{-1} \left(\sum_{i\in J} \| fv\chi_{B_i} \|_{q_1}^{p_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}}. \tag{34}$$

Let n be a positive integer and set

- $J_n = \left\{ i \in J : \frac{1}{n} \leq r(B_i) \right\}$
- m_n and $\bar{k}$ the integers satisfying respectively

$$\rho^{m_n+1} \leq \frac{1}{2\kappa n} < \rho^{m_n+2} \text{ and } \rho^{\bar{k}+1} \leq \frac{r}{2\kappa} < \rho^{\bar{k}+2}, \tag{35}$$

where $r = \sup\{r(B_i), i \in I\}$ and $\rho = 8\kappa^5$.

It is proved in [27] that there are points x_j^k and Borel sets E_j^k, $1 \leq j < N_k$, $k \geq m_n$ (where $N_k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$), such that

(i) $B_{\left(x_j^k, \rho^k\right)} \subset E_j^k \subset B_{\left(x_j^k, \rho^{k+1}\right)},\ 1 \leq j < N_k,\ k \geq m_n$
(ii) $X = \cup_j E_j^k\ k \geq m_n$ and $E_j^k \cap E_i^k = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$
(iii) given i, j, k, ℓ with $m_n \leq k < \ell$, then either $E_j^k \subset E_i^\ell$ or $E_j^k \cap E_i^\ell = \emptyset$.

Let i be an element of I_n. Denote by k_i the integer satisfying

$$\rho^{k_i+1} \leq \frac{r(B_i)}{2\kappa} < \rho^{k_i+2} \tag{36}$$

and set $L_i = \left\{ j : 1 \leq j < N_{k_i},\ E_j^{k_i} \cap B_i \neq \emptyset \right\}$.

We know that the number of elements of L_i is less than a constant $\mathfrak{N}$ depending only on the structure constants $(\kappa, C_\mu, D_\mu, \tilde{C}_\mu, \delta_\mu)$ (see inequality (43) of [10]). Denoting by j_i an element of L_i satisfying

$$\left\| fv\chi_{B_i \cap E_{j_i}^k} \right\|_{q_1} = \max_{j \in L_i} \left\| fv\chi_{B_i \cap E_j^k} \right\|_{q_1}, \tag{37}$$

we have

$$\| fv\chi_{B_i} \|_{q_1} \leq \mathfrak{N}^{-1} \left\| fv\chi_{B_i \cap E_{j_i}^k} \right\|_{q_1}. \tag{38}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{i\in J_n}\|fv\chi_{B_i}\|_{q_1}^{p_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} &\leq \mathfrak{N}^{-1}\left(\sum_{i\in J_n}\left\|fv\chi_{E_{j_i}^{k_i}\cap B_i}\right\|_{q_1}^{p_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \\
&= \mathfrak{N}^{-1}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{N_{\bar{k}}}\sum_{i\in J_n:E_{j_i}^{k_i}\subset E_\ell^{\bar{k}}}\left\|fv\chi_{E_{j_i}^{k_i}\cap B_i}\right\|_{q_1}^{p_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \\
&\leq \mathfrak{N}^{-1}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{N_{\bar{k}}}\left\|fv\chi_{E_{j_i}^{\bar{k}}\cap(\cup_{i\in J_n}B_i}\right\|_{q_1}^{p_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \\
&= \mathfrak{N}^{-1}\left[\sum_{\ell=1}^{N_{\bar{k}}}\left(\mu\left(E_\ell^{\bar{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}-\frac{1}{q_1}}\left\|fv\chi_{E_{j_i}^{\bar{k}}\cap(\cup_{i\in J_n}B_i)}\right\|_{q_1}\right)^{p_1}\mu\left(E_\ell^{\bar{k}}\right)^{\frac{p}{q_1}-\frac{p}{\alpha_1}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p_1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that for any $1\leq \ell < N_{\bar{k}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(E_\ell^{\bar{k}}\right) &\leq \mu\left(B_{(x_\ell^{\bar{k}},\rho^{\bar{k}+1})}\right)\leq \mathfrak{b}\varphi(\rho^{\bar{k}+1}) \\
&\leq \mathfrak{b}\varphi\left(\frac{r}{2\kappa}\right)\leq \mathfrak{b}\sup_{i\in J}\varphi\left(\frac{r(B_i)}{2\kappa}\right) \\
&\leq \mathfrak{b}\sup_{i\in J}\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mu\left(\frac{1}{2\kappa}B_i\right)\leq \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\left(\theta^{-1}\|f\|_{q,\infty,\alpha}\right)^s.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

(39)
$$\left(\sum_{i\in J_n}\|fv\chi_{B_i}\|_{q_1}^{p_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}}\leq C\left[\sum_{\ell=1}^{N_{\bar{k}}}\left(\mu\left(E_\ell^{\bar{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}-\frac{1}{q_1}}\left\|fv\chi_{E_{j_i}^{\bar{k}}}\right\|_{q_1}\right)^{p_1}\right]^{\frac{1}{p_1}}\left(\theta^{-1}\|f\|_{q,\infty,\alpha}\right)^{s\left(\frac{1}{q_1}-\frac{1}{\alpha_1}\right)}.$$

Since the last formula does not depend on n, we get from (34) and (39)

(40)
$$\left\|w\chi_{\Pi_\theta^R}\right\|_t\leq\theta^{-1}\left[\sum_{\ell=1}^{N_{\bar{k}}}\left(\mu\left(E_\ell^{\bar{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}-\frac{1}{q_1}}\left\|fv\chi_{E_{j_i}^{\bar{k}}}\right\|_{q_1}\right)^{p_1}\right]^{\frac{1}{p_1}}\left(\theta^{-1}\|f\|_{q,\infty,\alpha}\right)^{s\left(\frac{1}{q_1}-\frac{1}{\alpha_1}\right)}.$$

We recall that Proposition 4.1 of [10] asserts that there are positive constants C_1 and C_2 not depending on r and fv such that

$$C_1\,{}_r\|fv\|_{q_1,p_1,\alpha_1}\leq\left[\sum_{\ell=1}^{N_{\bar{k}}}\left(\mu\left(E_\ell^{\bar{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}-\frac{1}{q_1}}\left\|fv\chi_{E_{j_i}^{\bar{k}}}\right\|_{q_1}\right)^{p_1}\right]^{\frac{1}{p_1}}\leq C_2\,{}_r\|fv\|_{q_1,p_1,\alpha_1}. \tag{41}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|w\chi_{\Pi_\theta^R}\right\|_t &\leq C\theta^{-1}{}_r\left\|fv\right\|_{q_1,p_1,\alpha_1}\left(\theta^{-1}\left\|f\right\|_{q,\infty,\alpha}\right)^{s\left(\frac{1}{q_1}-\frac{1}{\alpha_1}\right)} \\ &\leq C\theta^{-1}\left\|fv\right\|_{q_1,p_1,\alpha_1}\left(\theta^{-1}\left\|f\right\|_{q,\infty,\alpha}\right)^{s\left(\frac{1}{q_1}-\frac{1}{\alpha_1}\right)}.\end{aligned}$$

As (x_0, R) is arbitrary in $X \times]0, \infty[$, we obtain

$$\left\|w\chi_{\Pi_\theta}\right\|_t \leq C\theta^{-1}\left\|fv\right\|_{q_1,p_1,\alpha_1}\left(\theta^{-1}\left\|f\right\|_{q,\infty,\alpha}\right)^{s\left(\frac{1}{q_1}-\frac{1}{\alpha_1}\right)}. \tag{42}$$

□

In the proof of the above theorem, the condition $q < q_1$ is needed only when we have to use the $B_{\frac{q_1}{q}}$ characterization. When $w = v = 1$ this characterization is not needed. So Theorem 1.4 follows immediately from Theorem 2.3.

The next theorem is some kind of reverse for Theorem1.4

Theorem 2.4. *Let* q, α*,*u *and* v *be elements of* $[1, \infty]$ *such that*

$$q \leq \alpha,\ 0 \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{1}{s} \text{ and } u \leq s \leq v.$$

Then there is a constant D *such that for any* μ*-measurable function* f

$$\left\|f\right\|_{q,v,\alpha} \leq D\left\|\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}f\right\|_{u,v,s}. \tag{43}$$

Proof. Let f be such that $\left\|\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}f\right\|_{u,v,s} < \infty$. We notice that under the hypothesis, we have $q \leq \alpha \leq s \leq v$ and $\alpha \leq \beta$.

1^{rst} case: $q = \infty$. Then $\alpha = \beta = s = v = \infty$ and therefore, it follows from the definitions that

$$\left\|f\right\|_{\infty,\infty,\infty} = \left\|f\right\|_\infty = \left\|\mathcal{M}_{\infty,\infty}f\right\|_\infty \leq C\left\|\mathcal{M}_{\infty,\infty}f\right\|_{u,\infty,\infty}.$$

2^{nd} case: $q < \infty$.

(a) If $u = \infty$, then $s = v = \infty$, $\alpha = \beta$ and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|f\right\|_{q,\infty,\alpha} &= \sup_{r>0}\sup_{x\in X}\text{ess }\mu\left(B_{(x,r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\|f\chi_{B_{(x,r)}}\right\|_q \\ &= \sup_{r>0}\sup_{x\in X}\text{ess }\mu\left(B_{(x,r)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\|f\chi_{B_{(x,r)}}\right\|_q = \left\|\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}f\right\|_\infty = \left\|\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}f\right\|_{\infty,\infty,\infty}.\end{aligned}$$

(b) Suppose that $u < \infty$, and consider two positive reals numbers r and r_1 satisfying $r_1 = \dfrac{r}{2\kappa}$. For any $y \in X$ and $x \in B_{(y,r_1)}$, we have $B_{(y,r_1)} \subset B_{(x,r)}$ and therefore, by the doubling condition

$$\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}f(x) \geq C_\mu^{\frac{1}{\beta}-\frac{1}{q}}\mu\left(B_{(y,r_1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}-\frac{1}{q}}\left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r_1)}}\right\|_q. \tag{44}$$

From this, it follows that for any $y \in X$, we have

$$\left\|\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta} f\chi_{B_{(y,r_1)}}\right\|_u \geq C_\mu^{\frac{1}{\beta}-\frac{1}{q}} \mu\left(B_{(y,r_1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{u}+\frac{1}{\beta}-\frac{1}{q}} \left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r_1)}}\right\|_q \tag{45}$$

and therefore,

$$\mu\left(B_{(y,r_1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}-\frac{1}{v}-\frac{1}{u}} \left\|\mathcal{M}_{q,\beta} f\chi_{B_{(y,r_1)}}\right\|_u \geq C_\mu^{\frac{1}{\beta}-\frac{1}{q}} \mu\left(B_{(y,r_1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{v}-\frac{1}{q}} \left\|f\chi_{B_{(y,r_1)}}\right\|_q . \tag{46}$$

This yields immediately the desired inequality

□

3. Continuity of the fractional integral $I_\alpha f$

It is known in the euclidean case that the fractional integral $I_\gamma f$ is controlled in norm by the fractional maximal function $\mathfrak{m}_{1,\frac{1}{\gamma}} f$ (seeTheorem 1 of [22]). We give the analogous of this control in the setting of spaces of homogeneous type.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $0 < q < \infty$, $0 < \gamma < 1$ and a weight w in $\mathcal{A}_\infty$. There is a constant C such that for any μ-measurable function f*

$$\underset{a>0}{\sup} a^q \int_{E_a} w(x)d\mu(x) \leq C \underset{a>0}{\sup} a^q \int_{F_a} w(x)d\mu(x),$$

where $E_a = \{x \in X : |I_\gamma f(x)| > a\}$ and $F_a = \left\{x \in X : \mathcal{M}_{1,\frac{1}{\gamma}} f(x) > a\right\}$.

Proof. In our argumentation, we shall adapt the proof of Theorem 1 of [22], keeping in mind that we do not have a Withney decomposition avalaible.

1) Let f be a μ-measurable, non negative, bounded function, with a support included in a ball $B_0 = B_{(x_0,k_0)}$. According to Lemma 6 of [29], there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ not depending on f, such that

$$I_\gamma f \leq \mathcal{M}\left(I_\gamma f\right) \leq C_0 I_\gamma f,$$

where $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{1,\infty}$. Let θ be a positive number and set

$$\widetilde{E}_\theta = \{x \in X : \mathcal{M}(I_\gamma f)(x) > \theta\} \text{ and } E_\theta = \{x \in X : I_\gamma f(x) > \theta\}.$$

The set E_θ is included in $\widetilde{E}_\theta$ which is opened and satisfy $\mu\left(\widetilde{E}_\theta\right) < \infty$. According to Lemma 8 of [29], there exists a countable family $\left\{B_{(x_i,r_i)}; i \in J\right\}$ of pairwise disjoint balls and two positive constants M and c depending only on the structure constants of X, such that

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{E}_\theta = \cup_{i\in J} B_{(x_i,cr_i)}, \\ \sum\limits_{i\in J} \chi_{B_{(x_i,2\kappa cr_i)}} \leq M\chi_{\widetilde{E}_\theta}, \\ B_{(x_i,4\kappa^2 cr_i)} \cap \left(X \setminus \widetilde{E}_\theta\right) \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } i \in J. \end{cases} \tag{47}$$

Let us consider an element (a,ε) of $]1,\infty[\times]0,1]$, and set $F_{\theta,\varepsilon}=\left\{x\in X:\mathcal{M}_{1,\frac{1}{\gamma}}f(x)>\theta\varepsilon\right\}$, $J_1=\left\{i\in J:B_{(x_i,cr_i)}\subset F_{\theta,\varepsilon}\right\}$ and $J_2=I\setminus J_1=\left\{i\in J:B_{(x_i,cr_i)}\setminus F_{\theta,\varepsilon}\neq\emptyset\right\}$.

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 1 of [22], we obtain two constants $K>0$ and $B>1$ depending only on the structure constants of X, such that if $a\geq B$ and $i\in J_2$ then

$$\mu\left(\left\{x\in B_{(x_i,cr_i)}:I_\gamma f(x)>a\theta\right\}\right)\leq K\mu\left(B_{(x_i,cr_i)}\right)\left(\frac{\epsilon}{a}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}}. \tag{48}$$

Since

$$E_{\theta a}\subset E_\theta\subset\widetilde{E}_\theta=\underset{i\in J}{\cup}B_{(x_i,cr_i)},$$

we have

$$E_{\theta a}=\left[\underset{i\in J_1}{\cup}\left(E_{\theta a}\cap B_{(x_i,cr_i)}\right)\right]\cup\left[\underset{i\in J_2}{\cup}\left(E_{\theta a}\cap B_{(x_i,cr_i)}\right)\right]\subset F_{\theta,\varepsilon}\cup\left[\cup_{i\in J_2}\left(E_{\theta a\setminus F_{\theta\epsilon}}\right)\cap B_{(x_i,cr_i)}\right]$$

and therefore

$$\int_{E_{\theta a}}w(x)d\mu(x)\leq\int_{F_{\theta,\varepsilon}}w(x)d\mu(x)+\sum_{i\in J_2}\int_{\left(E_{\theta a}\setminus F_{\theta,\varepsilon}\right)\cap B_{(x_i,cr_i)}}w(x)d\mu(x). \tag{49}$$

Now fix $a\geq B$ and $\rho>0$. Since w is in $\mathcal{A}_\infty$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that for any ball B in X and any subset E of B satisfying $\mu(E)\leq\delta\mu(B)$, we have

$$\int_E w(x)d\mu(x)\leq\rho\int_B w(x)d\mu(x). \tag{50}$$

Choose $\overline{\varepsilon}\in\,]0,1]$ such that $K\left(\frac{\overline{\varepsilon}}{a}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}}<\delta$ and take $0<\varepsilon<\min\left(\overline{\varepsilon},\frac{1}{C_0L}\right)$, where $L=C_\mu\left(2\kappa+4\kappa^2\right)^{1-\gamma}$. According to (48) we have for any $i\in J_2$,

$$\mu\left(B_{(x_i,cr_i)}\cap E_{\theta a}\right)<\delta\mu\left(B_{(x_i,cr_i)}\right) \tag{51}$$

and therefore

$$\int_{B_{(x_i,cr_i)}\cap E_{\theta a}}w(x)d\mu(x)\leq\rho\int_{B_{(x_i,cr_i)}}w(x)\mu(x). \tag{52}$$

From this inequality, (49) and (47) we obtain

$$\int_{E_{\theta a}}w(x)d\mu(x)\leq\int_{F_{\theta,\varepsilon}}w(x)d\mu(x)+\rho M\int_{\widetilde{E}_\theta}w(x)d\mu(x). \tag{53}$$

Let $x\in X\setminus 3\kappa B_0$. Assume that $0<t<\frac{1}{2}\inf_{y\in B_0}d(x,y)$ and $u_t\in B_0$ satisfies

$$d(x,u_t)-t\leq d(x,y),\ y\in B_0. \tag{54}$$

We have

$$2r(B_0)\leq d(x,y)\leq\kappa\left[d(x,u_t)+2\kappa r(B_0)\right],\ y\in B_0 \tag{55}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} I_\gamma f(x) &\leq \int_{B_0} \frac{f(y)}{\mu\left(B_{(x,d(x,y))}\right)^{1-\gamma}} d\mu(y) \leq \frac{1}{\mu\left(B_{(x,d(x,u_t)-t)}\right)^{1-\gamma}} \int_{B_{(x,\kappa(d(x,u_t)+2\kappa r(B_0)))}} f(y)d\mu(y) \\ &\leq C_\mu \left[\frac{\kappa\left(d(x,u_t)+2\kappa r(B_0)\right)}{d(x,u_t)-t}\right]^{(1-\gamma)D_\mu} \mathcal{M}_{1,\frac{1}{\gamma}} f(x) \leq L\mathcal{M}_{1,\frac{1}{\gamma}} f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\widetilde{E}_\theta \subset E_{\frac{\theta}{C_0}} \subset \left(E_{\frac{\theta}{C_0}} \cap 3\kappa B_0\right) \cup F_{\theta,\frac{1}{C_0 L}}. \tag{56}$$

We obtain from (53)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_{\theta a}} w(x)d\mu(x) &\leq \int_{F_{\theta,\varepsilon}} w(x)d\mu(x) + \rho M \int_{E_{\frac{\theta}{C_0}} \cap 3\kappa B_0} w(x)d\mu(x) + \rho M \int_{F_{\theta,\frac{1}{C_0 L}}} w(x)d\mu(x) \\ &\leq (1+\rho M)\int_{F_{\theta,\varepsilon}} w(x)d\mu(x) + \rho M \int_{E_{\frac{\theta}{C_0}} \cap 3\kappa B_0} w(x)d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta a)^q \int_{E_{\theta a}} w(x)d\mu(x) &\leq (1+\rho M)\left(\frac{a}{\varepsilon}\right)^q (\theta\varepsilon)^q \int_{F_{\theta,\varepsilon}} w(x)d\mu(x) \\ &+ \rho M \left(\frac{\theta}{C_0}\right)^q (C_0 a)^q \int_{E_{\frac{\theta}{C_0}} \cap 3\kappa B_0} w(x)d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let N be a positive integer. From the preceeding inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0<s<N} s^q \int_{E_s} w(x)d\mu(x) &\leq (1+\rho M)\left(\frac{a}{\varepsilon}\right)^q \sup_{0<s<N\frac{\varepsilon}{a}} s^q \int_{F_{s,1}} w(x)d\mu(x) \\ &+ \rho M (C_0 a)^q \sup_{0<s<\frac{N}{aC_0}} s^q \int_{E_s \cap 3\kappa B_0} w(x)d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

As

$$\sup_{0<s<\frac{N}{aC_0}} s^q \int_{E_s \cap 3\kappa B_0} w(x)d\mu(x) \leq \sup_{0<s<N} s^q \int_{E_s \cap 3\kappa B_0} w(x)d\mu(x) < \infty,$$

by taking $\rho = \frac{1}{2M(C_0 a)^q}$ in the last inequality, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\sup_{0<s<N} s^q \int_{E_s} w(x)d\mu(x) \leq \left(1+\frac{1}{2(C_0 a)^q}\right)\left(\frac{a}{\varepsilon}\right)^q \sup_{0<s<N\frac{\varepsilon}{a}} s^q \int_{F_{s,1}} w(x)d\mu(x). \tag{57}$$

The desired inequality follows by letting N goes to infinity.

2) Let f be an arbitrary μ-measurable function f. For any positive integer k, set $f_k = f\chi_{E_k}$ with $E_k = \left\{x \in B_{(x_0,k)} : |f(x)| \leq k\right\}$. By part 1) of the proof, for any $k > 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\sup_{0<s<N} s^q \int_{\{x\in X: I_\gamma f_k(x)>s\}} w(x)d\mu(x) \leq \left(1+\frac{1}{2(C_0 a)^q}\right)\left(\frac{a}{\varepsilon}\right)^q \sup_{0<s<N\frac{\varepsilon}{a}} s^q \int_{\left\{x\in X:\mathcal{M}_{1,\frac{1}{\gamma}}f_k(x)>s\right\}} w(x)d\mu(x). \tag{58}$$

So letting k goes to infinity, we obtain the result.

□

Remark 3.2. *Assume that*

- μ *satisfies condition (13),*
- q, θ, p, p_1, q_1, θ_1, γ *are elements of* $[0,\infty]$ *such that*

$$1 \leq q \leq \theta \leq p \text{ with } 0 < \frac{1}{\theta} - \gamma = \frac{1}{s} \tag{59}$$

and

$$q < q_1 \leq \theta_1 \leq p_1 < \infty \text{ with } 0 < \frac{1}{q_1} - \gamma = \frac{1}{t} \leq \frac{1}{p_1}, \tag{60}$$

- Φ *is a doubling Young function whose conjugate function* Φ^* *satisfies the* $B_{\frac{q_1}{q}}$ *condition,*
- v *and* w *are two weights for which there exists a constant* A *such that*

$$\mu(B)^{-\frac{1}{t}} \left\|w\chi_B\right\|_t \left\|v^{-q}\right\|_{\Phi,B}^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq A, \quad B \text{ ball}$$

and w^t *satisfies* $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ *condition.*

Then, there is a constant C *such that for any* μ*-measurable functions* f *and* $a > 0$, *we have :*

$$\left(\int_{E_a} w^t(x)d\mu(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \leq C\left(a^{-1}\left\|fv\right\|_{q_1,p_1,\theta_1}\right)\left(a^{-1}\left\|f\right\|_{q,p,\theta}\right)^{s\left(\frac{1}{q_1}-\frac{1}{\theta_1}\right)},$$

where $\quad E_a = \{x \in X \ / \ |I_\gamma f(x)| > a\}.$

Proof. Let f be a μ-measurable function. From Theorem 2.3, it follows that there exists a constant C such that

$$\sup_{a>0} a^{1+s\left(\frac{1}{q_1}-\frac{1}{\theta_1}\right)}\left(\int_{E_a} w^t(x)d\mu(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \leq C\sup_{a>0} a^{1+s\left(\frac{1}{q_1}-\frac{1}{\theta_1}\right)}\left(\int_{F_a} w^t(x)d\mu(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{t}},$$

with $F_a = \left\{x \in X : \mathcal{M}_{1,\frac{1}{\tau}}f(x) > a\right\}$.

Since $\mathcal{M}_{1,\beta} \leq \mathcal{M}_{q,\beta}$ for $q > 1$, the result follows from Theorem 2.3. □

AknowledgmentWe would like to tank S. Grellier for supplying us with documents. We are indebted to the refree for his valuable comments, and particularly for suggesting us not to impose normality condition on the underlying homogeneous space.

REFERENCES

[1] **N.N. Anguilera and E. O. Harboure**,*On the search for weighted norm inequalities for Fourier Transform*, Pacific J. Math. 104 (1983), 1-14.

[2] **A. Bernardis and O. Salinas**, Two-weight norm inequalities for the fractional maximal operator on spaces of homogeneous type, Studia Math. 108 (3) (1994), 201-207

[3] **R. C. Busby and H. A. Smith**, *Product-convolution operators and mixed-norm spaces*, Trans. AMS 263, N2 (1981) 309-341.

[4] **Calderon,** *Inequalities for the maximal function relative to a metric* ; Studia Mathematica, 62. (1972), 297-306.

[5] **F. Chiarenza and M. Frasca,** *Morrey spaces and Hardy-Littlewood maximal function*, Rend. Math. 7 (1987), 273-279.

[6] **R. Coifman, G. Weiss**, *Analyse Harmonique Non-commutative sur Certains Espaces Homog'enes*, (1977), 569-645. Lecture Notes in Math. **242**, Springer, Berlin, 1971.

[7] **R. Coifman, G. Weiss**, *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bull. Amer. J. Math. 83 (1977), 569-645.

[8] **Eridani, V. Kokilashvili, and A. Meskhi**, *Morrey spaces and fractional integral operators*, preprint (Arxiv:08062391v1).

[9] **J. Feuto, I. Fofana and K. Koua,** *Espaces de fonctions à moyenne fractionnaire intégrable sur les groupes localement compact,* Afrika Mathematatika. (3) 15 (2003), 73-91,(arxiv:08101206).

[10] **J. Feuto, I. Fofana and K. Koua,** *Spaces of fractional mean integrable functions on spaces of homogeneous type,* Préprint (arxiv 0901.2524).

[11] **I. Fofana,** *Étude d'une classe d'espaces de fonctions contenant les espaces de Lorentz*, Afrika Mathematika (2), 1 (1988)

[12] **I. Fofana,** *Continuité de l'intégrale fractionnaire et espace* $(L^q, \ell^p)^\alpha$, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 308, Série I,(1989) 525-527.

[13] **I. Fofana,** *Espaces* $(L^q, \ell^p)^\alpha$ *et continuité de l'opérateur maximal fractionnaire de Hardy-Littelwood*, Afrika Mathematika (3), 12 (2001), 23-37.

[14] **G.B Folland and E.M Stein** ," *Hardy spaces on Homogeneous groups*", Mathematical Notes 28, Princeton University press. Princeton, New Jersey 1982.

[15] **J.J.F. Founier and J. Stewart**, *Amalgams of* L^p *and* L^q, Bulletin of the AMS (13) 1 (1985), 1-21.

[16] **Y. Han, D. Müller and D. Yang**, *A theory of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin Spaces on Metric Measure Space Modeled on Carnot-Carathéodory Spaces*, Preprint

[17] **F. Holland**, *Harmonic Analysis on amalgams of* L^p *and* ℓ^q, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 10 (1975), 295-305.

[18] **A. Kpata, I. Fofana and K. Koua**, *Necessary condition for measures which are* (L^q, L^p) *multipliers*, to appear in An. Math. Blaise Pascal (16) n° 2 (2009).

[19] **S. G. Krantz and S.-Y. Li**, *Boundedness and Compactness of Integral Operators on Spaces of Homogeneous Type and Applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 258 No.2 (2001), 642-657.

[20] **R. Marcías and C. Segovia,** *Lipschitz Functions on Spaces of Homogeneous Type*, Adv. in Math. 33,(1979), 257-270.

[21] **D. Mascré**, *Inégalités à poids pour l'opérateur de hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev dans les espaces métriques mesurés à deux demi-dimensions*, Coll. Math. (105) 1 (2006), 77-104.

[22] **B. Muckenhoupt and R. Wheeden,** *Weighted Norm Inequalities For fractional Integrals*, Trans. of the AMS, volume 192 (1974), 261-274.

[23] **E. Nakai,** *Hardy-Littlewood Maximal Operator, Singular Integral Operators and the Riez Potentials on generalized Morrey Spaces* Math. Nachr. 166 (1994) 95-103.

[24] **Pérez and Wheeden,** *Uncertainty Principle estimates for vector fields*, Journal of Functional Analysis 181 ; (2001), 146-188.

[25] **Pérez and Wheeden,** *Potential Operators, Maximal Functions, and generalization of* A_∞, Potential Anal. 19 (2003), n°1,1-33.

[26] **M. Rao and Z. Ren**, *Theory of Orlicz spaces*, Dekker, New York, 1991.

[27] **E. T. Sawyer and R. L. Wheeden** , *Weighted inequalities for fractional integrals on euclidean and homogeneous spaces*, Amer. J. Math. 114 (1992), 813-874.

[28] **N. Th. Varopoulos**, *Analysis on Lie groups*, J. Funct. Anal. 76 (1988), 346-410.

[29] **P. Wenjie,** *Fractional Integrals on spaces of homogeneous type*, Approx. Theory&its Appl. 8:1 mar (1992), 1-15.

[30] **N. Wiener**, *On the representation of functions by trigonometrical integrals*, Math. Z.24 (1926), 575-616.

UFR DE MATHEMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE, Université de Cocody, 22BP 1194 Abidjan 22, République de Côte d'Ivoire
E-mail address: `justfeuto@yahoo.fr`

UFR DE MATHEMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE, Université de Cocody, 22BP582 Abidjan 22, République de Côte d'Ivoire
E-mail address: `fofana_ib_math_ab@yahoo.fr`

UFR DE MATHEMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE, Université de Cocody, 22BP582 Abidjan 22, République de Côte d'Ivoire
E-mail address: `kroubla@yahoo.fr`